

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Ful

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,369	09/30/2003		Herbert M. Wildfeuer	062891.1167	5981
5073	7590	10/04/2006		EXAM	INER
BAKER BO 2001 ROSS			PHILPOTT, JUSTIN M		
SUITE 600	I V DIVOD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, T	X 75201	-2980	2616		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/675,369	WILDFEUER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin M. Philpott	2616	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 L	December 2005.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	ì.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-3,7-9,13-15 and 19 is/are rejected	•		
7) Claim(s) <u>4-6,10-12 and 16-18</u> is/are objected	to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 September 2003</u> is	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		3 (.) (.)	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20030930,20051201.	6) Other:	поппа Расен Аррисацоп	

Application/Control Number: 10/675,369

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 13-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,327,276 to Robert et al.

Regarding claims 1, 7, 13 and 19, Robert teaches a method and system for managing a multicast conference call, comprising the steps of, and elements, logic and means for: receiving a plurality of signals (e.g., receiving signals from the network, see col. 2, line 49) at a local endpoint (e.g., at a client, see col. 2, lines 46-65) participating in a multicast conference call (e.g., see col. 2, lines 46-65 regarding multicast signal and see col. 3, line 65 - col. 4, line 29 regarding conference call) among the local endpoint and one or more remote endpoints (e.g., other clients), the plurality of signals comprising a local signal and one or more remote signals (e.g., see col. 2, lines 46-65 regarding signals transmitted to a plurality of clients and mixed in the multicast signal), the local signal associated with the local endpoint (e.g., see col. 6, lines 52-67 regarding the particular client), each remote signal associated with a remote endpoint (e.g., client on WAN, see col. 5, lines 33-42) of the one or more remote endpoints (e.g., clients on WAN, see col. 6, lines 1-25), each metric rating reflecting an importance of a signal of the

Application/Control Number: 10/675,369

Art Unit: 2616

plurality of signals (e.g., whether the signal is for the particular client which received the multiplexed signal, see col. 6, lines 1-67), the plurality of metric rating comprising a local metric rating (e.g., energy level present, see col. 6, line 34) and one or more remote metric ratings (e.g., according to which clients are talking and which are silent, see col. 6, lines 40-51), the local metric rating corresponding to the local signal (e.g., signal intended for the particular client), each remote metric rating corresponding to a remote signal of the one or more remote signals (e.g., according to which clients are talking and which are silent, see col. 6, lines 40-51); comparing the local metric rating and the one or more remote metric ratings (e.g., via mixer 372, see col. 6, lines 49-51); and selecting a subset (e.g., selecting the adjusted signals) of the plurality of signals according to the comparison in order to manage the multicast conference call (e.g., see col. 5, line 44 - col. 6, line 67).

Regarding claims 2, 8 and 14, Robert teaches steps, elements and logic for mixing the remote signals of the subset of the plurality of signals (e.g., via mixer, see col. 6, lines 26-51); and outputting the mixed remote signals of the subset of the plurality of signals (e.g., via creating the multicast signal, see col. 6, lines 26-51).

Regarding claims 3, 9 and 15, Robert teaches steps, elements and logic for determining if the subset of the plurality of signals comprises the local signal (e.g., see col. 3, line 65 - col. 4, line 29 and col. 6, lines 52-67 regarding the client receiving the multicast signal); and transmitting the local signal if the subset of the plurality of signals comprises the local signal (e.g., see col. 6, lines 52-67 regarding the particular client removing its own component from the multicast signal and transmitting the multicast signal).

Application/Control Number: 10/675,369

Art Unit: 2616

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

3. Claim 20 is allowed.

- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method such as that described in independent claim 20 which comprises steps for establishing one or more metric values for a signal according to an appended metric to the signal; generating a metric vector for each signal and applying a function to each metric vector to generate a metric rating for each signal; and identifying a predetermined number of highest ranked metric ratings and selecting the signals according to the highest ranked metric ratings as recited in.
- 5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."
- 6. Claims 4-6, 10-12, 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method, system and logic such as that described in independent claims 1, 7 and 13, respectively, which *further* comprises steps, elements and/or logic for establishing one or more metric values for a signal according to an appended metric to the signal; generating a metric vector for each signal and applying a function to each metric

Art Unit: 2616

vector to generate a metric rating for each signal; or identifying a predetermined number of highest ranked metric ratings and selecting the signals according to the highest ranked metric ratings as recited in 4-6, 10-12, 16-18.

.Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent No. 6,717,921 to Aggarwal et al. and U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0186827 by Griffiths each disclose methods for managing multicast conference calls.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin M. Philpott whose telephone number is 571.272.3162. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571.272.3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Justin M. Philpott